

Methanol: An Emerging Alternative Marine Fuel

Gregory Dolan, CEO – Methanol Institute July 2018

MI History

- The Methanol Institute (MI) was established in 1989 to lobby the US Congress.
- 29 years later, MI is recognized as the trade association for the methanol industry, representing world's leading methanol producers, distributors and technology companies.







METHANOL

WWW.METHANOL.ORG

Strategic Partnerships

- European Sustainable Shipping Forum (ESSF)
- International Bunker Industry Association
- Lloyd's Register
- Dangerous Goods Advisory Council
- American Chemistry Council
- Asian Clean Fuels Association
- China Ministry of Industry & Information Technology
- China Nitrogen Fertilizer Industry Association
- Chinese Association of Alcohol & Clean Ether Fuels & Automobiles
- European Chemical Industry Council (CEFIC)
- Formacare
- Gasification & Syngas Technologies Council
- German Regenerative Methanol Network
- Gulf Petrochemicals and Chemicals Association
- International DME Association
- International Methanol Producers & Consumers Association
- National Biodiesel Board
- National Institution for Transforming India (NITI Aayog)
- Oslo University Hospital
- Peking University Centre for Global New Energy Strategic Studies
- Solar Fuels Institute



























GSTC

GASIFICATION & SYNGAS

DGAC

cefic

Marine Fuel Drivers

- Scale: Marine sector consumes 370 million metric tonnes of bunker fuel per year.
- Sustainability: There needs to be a viable pathway to low- & no-carbon marine fuels.
- Price: Need to be price competitive with current bunker fuels and other alternatives such as LNG.
- ✓ **Supply:** Fuel needs to be available globally.
- Safety: Ship operators need to be assured of safe handling on-board vessel and for bunkering.





Broad feedstock range, many applications





Reduced Carbon Initiatives

METHANOL SYNTHESIS



*Comparable CO, emissions for 1G-Coal and 2G-Gas are 3.33 and 0.56 tons respectively



Renewable Pathways





An Efficient Energy Carrier

LIQUID STORAGE MEDIUM FOR ELECTRICITY & HYDROGEN



-253°C, and 140% more H, than compressed hydrogen at 700 bars.



Renewable methanol projects different stages of development

Methanol category	Commercial	Feasibility and R&D	Stopped or On-hold
Bio-methanol	 BioMCN (NL) Enerkem (CAN) New Fuel (DEN) Oberon (USA) 	 Biogo (GER) Enerkem (NL) LowLands Methanol (NL) Södra (SE) 	 BioMCN (glycerine) (NL) Chemrec (SE) Range Fuels (USA) Schwarze Pumpe (GER) Värmlands Metanol (SE) Woodspirit (NL)
Renewable methanol	CRI (IC)Innogy (GER)	 Blue Fuel Energy (CAN) CRI (CN) Infraserv (GER) Liquid Wind (SE) Port of Antwerp (BE) STEAG (GER) Swiss Liquid Future (CH) ZASt (GER) 	
Hybrid methanol		Haldor Topsoe (DEN)OPTIMeoH (GER)	
Low carbon methanol	 GPIC (BAH) Methanex (CAN) QAFAC (QAT) SABIC (KSA) 	 Carbon2Chem (GER) FRESME (SE) NCF (CN) 	



Methanol is a versatile fuel source

- Out of the ~75 million metric tons of methanol sold globally in 2017, energy and fuel uses represent 40% of total demand
- From 2009-2017, direct methanol fuel blending has increased at an annual rate of nearly 23%

FUELS

- Neat fuel
- Low blends
- High blends
- GEM
- MTBE
- Biodiesel
- DME & OME
- MTG

TECHNOLOGIES

- SI & CI engines
- Turbines
- Fuel cells
- Industrial boilers
- Cook Stoves

SEGMENTS

- Road & non-road transportation
- Power & heat generation
- Marine





Methanol Fuel Examples Around the World



The Clear Alternative Marine Fuel

Diesel Bunker Fuel *Methanol Marine Fuel*

Emissions regulations driving market

- The International Maritime Organization has adopted regulations for SOx and NOx that are transforming the shipping industry
- While 2020 global SOx reductions may be met with low sulfur fuels, the combination of SOx and NOx reductions driving shipboard solutions
- Recent IMO action on greenhouse gas emission reductions further narrow future options for shipping – at least 50% GHG reduction by 2050

Options available to ship owners

Examples of vessels running on methanol

C	UAL FUE	L	FUEI		PROJECT and R&D
7x	1x	1x	1x	1x	
chemical tankers	ROPAX ferry	Pilot boat	Tourist boat	Ferry	Cruise ships, fishing boat, barge, dredge, a.o.
MOL, WL, Marinvest	Stena Line	Swedish Maritime Admin	Innogy	Viking Line	SUMMETH/MARTEC, Lean Ships, Methaship, Billion Miles, FiTech, India,
2 stroke MAN	4 stroke Wärtsila	high speed Scania, Weichai, a.o.	Serener, propulsior	gy fuel cells n hotel load	PCG Product Vessel, NTU Test Bed Port of Rotterdam Barge SI hybrid, dual fuel, etc.
new build	retrofit	retrofit	retrofit	retrofit	new build & retrofit

Industry welcomes four new ocean-going vessels capable of running on methanol

• "We are very happy to be working with Waterfront Shipping again on this innovative technology. With seven engines already in operation and proven in the field, this new order confirms the ME-LGI concept as a mature technology. Since this dual-fuel engine entered the market in 2016, its price has dropped considerably, which makes it an even more attractive propulsion option. Allied with its environmental credentials and convenience of use when employing methanol as a fuel, we are confident the ME-LGI will continue its promising progress," states René Sejer Laursen, Promotion Manager, MAN Diesel & Turbo.

NSTITUTE

INDUSTRY WELCOMES FOUR NEW OCEAN-GOING VESSELS CAPABLE OF RUNNING ON METHANOL

[February 14, 2018] – Waterfront Shipping Company Ltd. (WFS), Marinvest/Skagerack Invest (Marinvest). INNO Kaiun Kaisha, Ltd. (IINO), Mitsui & Co., Ltd. (Mitsui), and the NYK Group (NYK) are proud to announce their investment to build four new ocean-going vessels powered by clean-burning methanol fuel. These four 49,000 dead weight tonne vessels will be delivered in 2019 and built with MAN B&W ME-LGI 2-stroke dual-fuel engines that can run on methanol, fuel oil, marine diesel oil or gas oil.

The vessels will join the existing seven methanol-fueled vessels chartered by WFS. In 2016, WFS, Marinvest, Mitsui 0.S.K. Lines, Ltd., and Westfal-Larsen Management announced the delivery of these seven fuel-efficient ocean-going vessels to the sea. These innovative vessels are built with the first-of-its kind MAN 8&W ME-LGI 2-stroke dual fuel engines and have achieved accolades from the marine industry for their use of clean-burning methanol as an alternative fuel.

"We are very happy to be working with Waterfront Shipping again on this innovative technology. With seven engines already in operation and proven in the field, this new order confirms the ME-LGI concept as a mature technology. Since this dual-fuel engine entered the market in 2016, its price has dropped considerably, which makes it an even more attractive propulsion option. Allied with its environmental credentials and convenience of use when employing methanol as a fuel, we are confident the ME-LGI will continue its promising progress," states René Sejer Laursen, Promotion Manager, MAN Disei & Turbo.

"It's been great working with MAN and our shipping partners who are as committed as we are in advancing sustainable clean marine technology. Our seven methanol-fueled vessels have been operating safely and reliably since 2016, and we expect these new vessels to benefit from ongoing technological advances that will continue to optimize performance and efficiency," says Paul Hexter, President, Waterfront Shipping. "We are proud to see 40 per cent of our fleet powered by methanol-fuel technology in the coming year."

As a safe, biodegradable and clean-burning fuel, methanol is a promising alternative marine fuel that can meet new and existing environmental regulations from the International Maritime Organization (IMO) that require vessels to decrease emissions of sulphur oxide and nitrogen oxides. By using methanol rather than conventional marine fuel, the vessels produce significantly fewer emissions than conventional vessels.

The four new vessels will be built in Korea at Hyundai Mipo Dockyard, where several of the first generation of methanolfueled vessels were built. WFS will charter the four vessels to replace older vessels and support growing demand for methanol around the world. Two of the vessels will be owned in a joint venture between WFS and Marinvest, one will be owned by NYK and the fourth will be owned in a joint venture between IINO and Mitsui.

"We have been very pleased by the performance of the vessels delivered in 2016 and excited to be investing in another two. Our overall focus in the development of the dual-fuel system concept has been safety and engine reliability. We have found the technology for handling methanol is well developed and offers a safe dual-fuel solution for lowflashpoint liquid fuels", states Patrik Mossberg, Chairman, Marinvest.

https://www.methanex.com/news/industrywelcomes-four-new-ocean-going-vesselscapable-running-methanol

MAN Duel-Fuel Engine Configuration

Fig. 4: ME-LGI system overview

SUMMETH

Sustainable Marine Methanol (Sweden)

- MI 2015 support of USD\$68,000
- The research concluded that there are no obstacles to the efficient use of Methanol in a converted diesel engine and that smaller vessel conversion projects are feasible and cost-effective, with levels of safety that easily meet existing requirements
- Road ferry with an engine capacity of about 350 kW
- Potential for Biomethanol to be progressively blended into the mix as more becomes available
- <u>http://summeth.marinemethanol.com/?page=reports</u>

86 m
14 m
3.45 m
11 m
737 tonnes
11.6 knots
397
60
340 tonnes
4 x Volvo Penta D12D-C, 331 kW, total installed power is 1324
kW
2 x 28 m ³ (diesel) (total capacity 56 m ³)

GREENPILOT

- GreenPilot (Sweden)
 - MI 2015 support of USD\$112,000
 - Funding from Methanol Institute and Swedish Maritime Administration
 - WeiChai 6-cyl, 365kW, M100 converted NG engine provided by FiT, in high-speed, rescue/pilot vessel
 - Sea trials started on WeiChai engine in March, which concluded year end, 2017
 - WeiChai-powered vessel and converted Scania engine on stand, both exhibited at Nor-shipping on May 30th, 2017
 - Closing workshop was 3 May 2018 Gothenburg

Converted WeChai engine is planned to be used in a Singapore pilot project together with Nanyang Technological University, Q4 2018

METHASHIP: Cruise & RoPax

MethaShip (Germany)

- 2 designs cruise and ropax, with Lloyds, Meyer Werft, HELM, Flensburger Schiffbau-Gesellschaft
- MethaShip is a national research project funded by the BMWi (Federal Ministry for Economic Affairs and Energy)
- 45-month project, closed on 28 May 2018
- Recently completed successful truck-toship bunkering
- Presented at IMO's most recent CCC4
- <u>https://www.vsm.de/de/presseinformation/</u> 7818

Main data	
Length	238.0 m
Width	32.2 m
Tonnage	62 800 GT
Passengers	2050 + 570 Crew
Engines	4 x 9 MW medium speed engines
Main fuel	Methanol

Main data	
Length	199.8 m
Width	28.6 m
Draught	6,5 m
Passengers	600 + 50 Crew
Engines	Dual Fuel 2 x 9 MW medium speed
Main fuel	Methanol

Other Pilot Projects

• Methanex

- Tianjin University and ZiChai (engine OEM) as partners
- Converted 1,100kW marine engine with DMCC technology for commercial fishing vessel applications (Tianjin University)
- Billion Miles (Singapore)
 - 4-cyl M100 prototype, skid-mounted, auxiliary power formally launched in 2018
 - Main propulsion unit coming later in 2018

• FiTech

- Fujian (140kW), fishing vessel (still discussing)
- MoA multi-vessel, LNG to methanol conversion (still discussing)
- PCG Product Supply Vessel Interest (still discussing)
 - Dual-fuel
 - Small product carrier (5,000 mt)
- NTU's test bed facility (about to launch)
 - 1st Phase
 - Test bed emissions and fuel consumption analysis
 - Converted WeChai engine with FiT, ScandiNAOS, LR, IBIA, CCS, Keppel
 - No vessel yet, but targeting single-engine, shore-to-ship crew transport vessel
- Plus: EU inland waterways; India fishing boats; Celeste EU methanol fuel cell vessel

Emissions Reductions

Methanol is among the lowest emission fuels for marine engines

Source: Stena Lines -- Emission reductions when compared to alternative fuels currently available (fuel oil)

Methanol / Water Blending (Emulsification)

- NOx decreases almost linearly with water content
- NOx emissions are close to 2 g/kWh at 50 and 75% load
- NOx emissions at 25% load is at the "not to exceed limit" for Tier-III, but can be lowered further
- 100% load with high water content not possible due to system limitations

Future Fuel Pricing

Source: Bunkerindex

WWW.METHANOL.ORG

Cost Competitiveness

Chart source: Platts and IHS Chemical

- MGO NA GC: Avg New Orleans, Houston; MGO NA WC: Avg LA, San Francisco, Seattle, Vancouver; MGO Europe: Avg Rotterdam, Antwerp, Hamburg; MGO Asia: Avg Shanghai, Korea; MGO Middle East: Avg Fujairah, Kuwait, Khor Faakan
- Methanol: Avg USGC, China and Europe spot prices; adjusted to energy equivalent of MGO (2.16 factor)

the power of

Fuel Comparison Model & Online Calculator

Fuel Comparison Model & Online Calculator

Price Differentials (USD/MT) 3

Total Fuel CAPEX 1

Total CAPEX LNG	49.766	M USD
Total CAPEX HFO scrubbers	44.167	M USD
Total CAPEX Compliant fuel	42.3	M USD
Total		

Total		
CAPEX	44.913	M USD
Methanol		

	Low	Medium	High
LNG	2(260.0	31
HFO 3.5	14	185.0	22
Methano	1!	199.0	23

Calorific Value 🕄

Calorific Value LNG	49	kJ/kg
Calorific Value HFO 3.5	41	kJ/kg
Calorific		
Value Compliant	43	kJ/kg
fuel		

Calorific		
Value	20	kJ/kg
Methanol		Ŭ

 Fuel Costs €

 Check Fuel Prices ∨

 LNG price
 315
 USD/mt

 HFO 3.5 price
 390
 USD/mt

 HFO 0.50 price
 550
 USD/mt

MGO 0.10 price	600	USD/mt
Methanol price	376	USD/mt

Available in many ports around the world

Methanol is widely available and easy to handle

- Liquid at atmospheric pressure
- Available in many ports around the world and along rivers
- Low infrastucture cost
- Flexible, modular system
- Environmentaly friendly as it's biodegradable

IMO IGF Code for Ethyl/Methyl Alcohols

- Fuel cells
- Low-flashpoint diesel
- Consider re-establishing CG
- Consider the need for other subcommittees to examine drafts or parts of them and, if so, make the necessary request to the relevant sub-committee(s)
- Not clear when IGF Codes will be Approved (ie; which future CCC X)
- Not clear after IGF Codes will be Approved, at which MSC they could be Adopted In Principle
- IMO has reserved 2024 by which IGF Codes must come into Force
- After Approval, IGF Codes may be implemented at flag state level with the understanding that additional amendments may be added, requiring compliance, before IGF Codes come into Force

Comparing apples to apples

	METHANOL	DIESEL	GASOLINE
Hazard pictograms (CPL)			
Signal word: (CPL)	Danger	Danger	Danger
Hazard statements (CPL)	H223 Highly flormmobile liquid and vapour. H301 Toxic & swallowed. H311 Toxic & contact with skin. H331 Toxic & Inhaled. H370 Causes damage to organs.	H228: Flemmable liquid and vapour. H328: May be fatal if swallowed and enters atways. H331: Causes skin instation. H332: Issawilul if inhaled. H333: Issawilul if inhaled. H333: Sugested of Causing cancer. H333: Sugested of Causing cancer. H333: Toxic to equatic life with long listing effects	H024: Extremely flammable liquid and vapour. H004: May be fatal if swallowed and enters straveys H030: Causes skin inflation H040: May cause genetic defects H050: May cause cancer H051: Suspected of damaging lettility or the unborn child H038: May cause drowsiness or distincts H0411: Toxic to aquatic life with long layting effects
Precautionary statements (CLP)	9220 - Bare protection (host, information) 9280 - Host of Host Discover within the host at and lange at much in a paralleles camberballes for leventhing 9280-9251 - 9253 - 9 (1994) (prime): Remova/Tass of transmittedup at containmated clothing. Now sites with webre/dooree 9281-9251 - 93644(2005) - Host Remova/Tass of transmittedup at containmated clothing. Now sites with webre/dooree 9281-9251 - 9364(2005) - Host Remova/Tass of transmittedup at containmated clothing. Now sites with webre/dooree 9281-9251 - 10 are in a and ventilated plane. Keep used	P201. Didate question instructions backware backware in the sensiting P202. Beap asseptimes instructions and reseturing sequences P203. Beap asseptimes instructions and reseturing sequences P204. Use explores and executing sequences P204. Use explores instructions and reseturing sequences P204. Use explores instruction and reseturing sequences P204. Use explores instruction and reseturing sequences P204. Use explores instruction and sectors graph and indice developse P204. Use explores instruction and plant instructions P204. Use explores instruction and graph and indice developse P204. Use of the sector instruction and graph and indice developse P204. Use of the sector instruction and graph and indice developse P204. Use of the sector instruction and graph and graph and indice developse P204. Use of the sector instruction and graph provided instruction and and graph provided instructions. P204. P204. P204. Distribution and and and and advectoritismics P204. P204. P204. Distribution and advector instruction. P204. P204. P204. Distribution and advector instruction. P204. P204. P204. Distribution advector, the material advector instruction. P204. P204. P204. Distribution advector, the material advector instruction. P204. P204.	1001. Obtain spanial indications lattice and 1002. Do not incredit and adding permatikani have least read and understand. 1003. Do not service and solution permatikani. New least read and understand. 1003. Kapp. constrainer: "Adding permatikani. New least read and understand. 1003. Kapp. constrainer: "Adding permatikani. New least read and understand. 1004. Do not service and reading and solution permitted. 1004. Does and solutions (adding the solution) 1004. Does and solution (a

Less dangerous than gasoline

Flammability

EPA 450-F-02-015

U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY OFFICE OF MOBILE SOURCES

Methanol Fuels and Fire Safety

Vehicle Fire Risk
In 1986, there were 500,000 vehicle fires and 1,400 vehicle fire fatalities in the United States.
Gasoline was the first material to ignite in 180,000 of these fires and many of the other fires
ultimately involved gasoline.
Gasoline-ignited fires in 1986 involving cars, buses, or trucks resulted in 760 deaths, 4,100 serious injuries, and \$215 million in property damage.
Projections indicate that casualties would drop dramatically if methanol were substituted for
gasoline as the country's primary automotive fuel. Looking just at vehicle fires in which gaso- line is the first material to ignite, a switch to methanol could save an estimated 720 lives, prevent nearly 3,900 serious injuries, and eliminate property losses of millions of dollars a
year.
Methanol's fire safety advantage over gasoline stems from several physical and chemical prop-
erties (see figures on page 3):
LOWER VOLATILITY (Figure 1)
Methanol does not evaporate or form vapor as readily as gasoline does. Under the same conditions, exposed gasoline will emit two to four times more vapor than will exposed methanol.
 HIGHER FLAMMABILITY REQUIREMENT (Figure 2)
Methanol vapor must be four times more concentrated in air than gasoline vapor for igni- tion to occur.
LOWER VAPOR DENSITY
Gasoline vapor is two to five times denser than air, so it tends to travel along the ground to ignition sources. Methanol vapor is only slightly denser than air and disperses more rap- idly to non-combustible concentrations.
LOWER HEAT RELEASE RATE
Methanol burns 25 percent as fast as gasoline and methanol fires release heat at only one- eighth the rate of gasoline fires.
These properties together make methanol inherently more difficult to ignite than gasoline and

less likely to cause deadly or damaging fires if it does ignite. Methanol is the fuel of choice for Indianapolis-type race cars, in part because of its superior fire safety characteristics.

Ease of Occurrence			
Open & Restricted	4	9	
Areas			
Enclosed Spaces	8 (2-4) ^b	2	
Relative Hazard if Fire			
Fire Severity	3	10	
Ease of Extinguishing	7	10	
Flame Visibility	8	1	
Toxicity			
Inhalation-Low Conc.			
Toxicity	3	10	
Ease of Occurrence	10	10	
Inhalation - High Conc.			
Toxicity	10	10	
Ease of Occurrence	3	4	
Skin Contact.			
Toxicity	9	8	
Ease of Occurrence	3	3	
Ingestion			
Toxicity	10	10	
Ease of Occurrence	8(2) ^c	3	
Table adapted from Ma Level concern. 4 to 6 = concern. 9 to 10 = extr	ichiele, 19 = moderat reme haza	998; ^a 1-No te concern. rd. ^b Num	o concern. 2 to 3 = Low 7 to 8 = high-level bers in parenthesis

TABLE 6-1

HAZARD SUMMARY[®]

M100

Gasoline

high-level renthesis reflect hazard reductions resulting from design changes. ^CNumber in parenthesis incorporates the lowered likelihood of ingestion due to the presence of additives.

Source: Malcolm Pirnie, Inc., Technical Memorandum

Methanol has lower fire risk

Safer For The Environment

(LC50, LC=Lethal Concentration): Concentration in water, at which half the population died within a specified test duration.

^[1] ECHA, European Chemicals Agency, registration dossier Methanol

^[2] Petrobras/Statoil ASA, Safety Data Sheet, ECHA registration dossier Gasoline

^[3] GKG/ A/S Dansk Shell, Safety Data Sheet

^[4] ECHA, European Chemicals Agency, registration dossier Diesel

^[5] ECHA, European Chemicals Agency, registration dossier Methane

Additional Source: Meyer-Werft

NSTITUTE

Methanol safer for marine environment

Maritime accident	Maritime accident	Simulation
Erika	Tanio	-
Heavy Fuel Oil	Heavy Fuel Oil	Methanol
19 000 t	13 500 t	10 000 t
400 km	200 km	0 km
\$914M	-	-
\$100M	\$50M	\$0
\$98,3M	-	-
\$400-500M	-	-
\$120M	\$17M	-
≈ 60,000	≈ 40, 000	-> 0
	Maritime accident Erika Heavy Fuel Oil 19 000 t 400 km \$914M \$100M \$100M \$100M \$120M \$120M \$0,000	Maritime accident Maritime accident Erika Tanio Heavy Fuel Oil Heavy Fuel Oil 19 000 t 13 500 t 400 km 200 km \$914M - \$100M \$50M \$98,3M - \$400-500M - \$120M \$17M \$200,000 \$20,000

Source: MethaShip

MI Focus: Methanol as a Marine Fuel

- Participate in formation of international regulations and safe handling guidelines for methanol as alternative fuel
- Work with engine OEMs on new build and conversion offerings across multiple scales
- Encourage and support pilot demonstrations of methanol marine fuels in multiple markets, to validate environmental, technical and economic merits
- Direct marketing campaign

Methanol...

- is plentiful, available globally
- can be made 100% renewable
- runs well in existing engine technology and has potential for further optimization
- complies with increasingly stringent emission reduction regulations
- requires only minor modifications to current bunkering infrastructure
- is biodegradable!
- safe handling can rely on long history and experience in shipping and industry
- shows slight regional price variation
- NEEDED: Vessels, guidelines, standards

Contacts

