

AMF ANNEX 56: METHANOL AS MOTOR FUEL 26 OCTOBER 2020 0 1500 CET 2200 SGT

StenaLine

Speakers



Tim Chan Manager, Government Relations & Business Development

Methanol





Päivi Aakko-Saksa Principal Scientist, Research Team Leader





26 October, 2020

Advanced Motor Fuels TCP and Methanol



Nils-Olof Nylund Senior Advisor VTT

On behalf of Jesper Schramm Professor at DTU Chairman of IEAAMF





Advanced Motor Fuels TCP

strong international network
fosters collaborative (RD&D)
provides unbiased information
aims for sustainable mobility

- framework was established by the IEA
- one of IEA's seven transport related TCPs

https://www.iea.org/areas-ofwork/technology-collaboration/transport



Character of AMF

- pool and leverage their knowledge and research capabilities from different countries
- exchange of best practices
- take regional and local conditions into consideration when facilitating the deployment of new fuel and vehicle technologies
- AMF does not pursue individual interests
- AMF is the only internationally recognized, technology-neutral clearinghouse for fuelsrelated information











AMF through the years

Long-standing agreement

- 1984-1989 Alcohols as Motor Fuels
- 1990-1998 Alternative Motor Fuels
- 1999-today Advanced Motor Fuels

Current term 2020 - 2024

The Advanced Motor Fuels TCP (AMF) is a very active and successful program

 The number of participating countries has grown from
4 countries in 1984 to 15 countries in 2020

4 countries in 1984 to 15 countries in 2020

 61 annexes (projects) have been initiated by the program since its beginning



Technology Collaboration Programme on Advanced Motor Fuels

Scope of the AMF TCP

- AMF works on the entire spectrum of fuels from feedstock, through fuel processing, distribution, and, finally, end use in vehicles.
- AMF works closely with other related Technology Collaboration Programmes either through the End Use Working Party or by way of direct interaction.
- Advanced motor fuels are fuels that fulfill one or more of the following criteria:
 - Reduces GHG emissions
 - Improves life-cycle efficiency
 - · Has high energy efficiency
 - Has low toxic emissions
 - Enables fuels for new propulsion systems
 - Contributes to security of supply







AMF Vision

Advanced motor fuels, applicable to all modes of transport, significantly contribute to a sustainable society around the globe.

AMF contributes by

- providing a solid basis for decision making (information and recommendations)
- providing a forum for sharing best practices and pooling resources, internationally

Methanol on AMF's radar screen

- Methanol is a versatile energy carrier
- Efficient processing
- Multiple feedstock options (fossil & renewable)
- No carbon-to-carbon bonds resulting in soot-free combustion
- Several engine technologies possible (assisted ignition)
 - spark-plug
 - glow-plug
 - dual-fuel
 - ignition improver additives
- Potential for high engine efficiency
- Methanol fulfils most AMF's criteria for advanced fuels!









GDI Engines

and Alcohol Fuels



AMF work including alcohol fuels Bolded items specifically on alcohol fuels

- Annex 1: Alcohols and Alcohol Blends as Motor Fuels
- Annex 3: Diesel Field Trials and Diesel Field Trials Analyses
- · Annex 4: Production of Alcohols and Other Oxygenates from Fossil Fuels and Renewables
- Annex 5: Performance evaluation of alternative fuel/engine concepts
- Annex 26: Alcohols and Ethers as Oxygenates in Diesel Fuel
- Annex 35-1: Ethanol as a Fuel for Road Transportation
- Annex 35-2: Particle Measurements: Ethanol and Butanol in DISI Engines
- Annex 36: Measurement Technologies for Emissions from Ethanol Fuelled Vehicles METEV
- Annex 37: Fuel and Technology Alternatives for Buses
- Annex 43: Performance Evaluation of Passenger Car Fuel and Powerplant Options
- · Annex 44: Research on Unregulated Pollutants Emissions of Vehicles Fuelled with Alcohol Alternative Fuels
- Annex 46: Alcohol Application in Cl Engines
- Annex 49: COMVEC Fuel and Technology Alternatives for Commercial Vehicles
- Annex 52: Fuels for Efficiency
- Annex 54: GDI Engines and Alcohol Fuels
- Annex 56: Methanol as Motor Fuel





AMF's current work programme



- Annex 28: Information Service & AMF Website (AMFI)
- Annex 56: Methanol as Motor Fuel
- Annex 57: Heavy Duty Vehicle Evaluation (including HD ethanol)
- Annex 58: Transport Decarbonisation
- Annex 59: Lessons Learned from Alternative Fuel Experiences
- Annex 60: The Progress of Advanced Marine Fuels
- Annex 61: Remote Emission Sensing



Global Network of Experts





BMK – NATURAL RESOURCES CANADA – MINISTRY OF ENERGY CHILE – CATARC – DTU – VTT – FNR – MINISTRY OF ENERGY ISRAEL MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS INDIA – LEVO – AIST – NTSEL – KETEP – IDAE – STA – SFOE – USDOE

1.1

AMF Contacts

Chair

• Mr. Jesper Schramm, DTU, Denmark

Vice Chairs

- Mr. CB Lee, KATECH, Korea
- Mr. Michael Wang, ANL, USA

Secretary

Ms. Dina Bacovsky, BEST – Bioenergy and Sustainable Technologies, Austria <u>dina.bacovsky@best-research.eu</u>

www.iea-amf.org

including AMF newsletter and Fuel Info









Alcohol fuels including methanol are an essential part of AMF's legacy

AMF also foresees a role for methanol as a future energy carrier in the transport sector



26 October 2020

Annex 56 Methanol as Motor Fuel Prospects for renewable methanol



Franziska Müller-Langer DBFZ, Germany

Jörg Schröder, Niels Dögnitz, Kathleen Meisel DBFZ, Germany







Methanol as motor fuel Agenda

Global transport sector

Overview methanol market

Renewable methanol

Prospects of commercialization as fuel







Global transport sector Data and statistics 2018

Energy demand

Global: 9,938 Mtoe

Transport: 29%

(Increase of 46% compared to 1990)

GHG emissions

Global:33,514 Mt CO2-eqTransport:25%(Increase of 44% compared to 1990)



Global energy demand of transport sector



METHANOL



Overview methanol market Data and statistics

Methanol as motor fuel

Straight or blended in methanol engines Intermediate for FAME, MTBE, DME, MtG Energy carrier for fuel cells

Main driver

China with approx. **100 million vehicles** using straight or blended methanol as motor fuel



Renewable methanol Conversion routes

Global capacity: Less than 1 million tonnes per year

Renewable methanol plants, examples: Enerkem, Canada (municipal solid waste) CRI, Iceland (hydropower and geothermal energy) Södra, Sweden (cultivated wood)

Technology Readiness Level (TRL) of conversion route from

Biomass: 3 – 7 / Power: 7 – 8

Production capacity of renewable methanol has to be increased







Renewable methanol GHG emissions (literature based)

Renewable methanol

 $3-69 \text{ g CO}_2\text{-eq/MJ}$

Fossil methanol

 $91-262 \text{ g CO}_2\text{-eq/MJ}$

Main drivers

Resources

Conversion technology



GHG reduction potentials of renewable methanol can be competitive to established renewable fuels and are competitive to fossil fuels

Renewable methanol Production costs (literature based)

Renewable methanol

23 – 87 EUR/GJ (costs*)

Fossil methanol

17 – 19 EUR/GJ (price*)

Renewable motor fuels in Europe:

Bioethanol20 – 35 EUR/GJ(price*)FAME20 – 30 EUR/GJ(price*)Biomethane8 EUR/GJ(price*)







* normelized to 2018 ** price of methanol from natural gas

Production costs of renewable methanol can be competitive to established renewable fuels, if using suitable resources



Prospects of commercialization as fuel



Implementing alternative fuels to market needs supporting elements on global, national and local level







- Methanol is a multipurpose fuel;
- Production costs and GHG reduction potentials of renewable methanol can be competitive to established renewable fuels;
- In order to support GHG mitigation in transport, production capacity of sustainable renewable methanol has to be increased as soon as possible;
- Supporting elements on strategic, regulatory, technical and communicative level are of overarching importance.





Dr.-Ing. Franziska Müller-Langer

E-Mail: franziska.mueller-langer@dbfz.de Phone: +49 341 2434-423

DBFZ Deutsches Biomasseforschungszentrum gemeinnützig GmbH Torgauer Straße 116 04347 Leipzig Germany



November 26, 2020

M85 for passenger cars Results and Barriers to Commercialization



Kim Winther

Senior specialist, M.Sc. Danish Technological Institute AMF Strategy & Technology Chair



METHANO

Motivation From Agricultural Waste to Sustainable Fuel

METHANOL

- Very large biogas potential due to intensive farming
- Biogas from manure greatly reduces CH₄ emissions
 - Net negative CO₂eq can be obtained with closed digesters (RED II)
- CO₂ from biogas is currently wasted (vented to atmosphere)
 - This CO₂ can be captured and converted to methanol





The case for Bio M85 Danish context, RED I Wood Methanol 5 gCO_{2eq}/MJ

	MET			٢H		Α	Ν	0)L				
	I	Ν	S	т	L	т	U	Т	Е				

	Gasoline E5	Electric DK	Bio M85
Fuel and energy pathway	Corn fermentation and natural gas in CHP	From Danish grid	Wet manure from open digestate with off- gas combustion
Emission in production of vehicle	5.600 kg CO _{2eq.}	8.800 kg CO _{2eq.}	5.600 kg CO _{2eq.}
Emission driving 150.000 km	19.650 kg CO _{2eq.}	4.875 kg CO _{2eq.}	6.000 kg CO _{2eq.}
Life cycle emission	25.250 kg CO _{2eq}	13.675 kg CO _{2eq.}	11.600 kg CO _{2eq.}



Why methanol? Why not use methane or hydrogen directly?

METHANOL

- Methanol is more feasible for storage and distribution
- Methane requires new fueling stations and new cars
- Hydrogen requires new grid, new fueling stations and new cars
- On the short-medium term, methanol seems like the fastest option...
- <u>IF</u> we can get existing cars and fueling stations to work!





Our cars

Technology Collaboration Programme



METHANOI

NSTI

2008 Peugeot 107 Uses Artline SARL E85 Flex-Fuel Kit

- 1-liter n.a. engine
- 68 hp
- EURO 4
- Runs on M100 when warm
- "Check Engine" light turns on
- MOT denied...







2020 Peugeot 108 Engine calibration by 2M Teknik

- 1-liter n.a. engine
- 72 hp
- EURO 6
- Runs well on M85
- Ny physical changes





2008 Peugeot 107 Fuel economy is on par with gasoline





Fuel energy (LHV) MJ/km

Source: Danish Technological Institute





2008 Peugeot 107 CO is much lower on M85



Source: Danish Technological Institute





2008 Peugeot 107 Pn is good in any case



Source: Danish Technological Institute





2008 Peugeot 107 NOx is acceptable for EURO 4



Source: Danish Technological Institute

Summary 2008 Peugeot 107

Fuel type	95 Octane Petrol	105 Octane M85
Air-Fuel Ratio	14,0:1	7,6:1
Fuel energy MJ/I	32,2	18,2
Performance		
Max. power	68 hk	73 hk
Max. torque	97 Nm	102 Nm
MJ/km	1,63	1,62
km/l	19,8	11,8
Car efficiency	15%	15%
Engine efficiency	25%	25%
Emissions		
CO2, g/km	118	118
CO, g/km	1,4	0,4
NOx, g/km	0,4	0,6
Pn, G#/km	234	259







ANNEXIII Final RDE	a Appen emissio	dix 6 ns results		C	Conform	nity of E	missio	ns				AV L	000
ş		criterium					condition				value	unit	pass/fail
ANNEX III	A 2.1.1	CF max = 1 + n	nargin NOx wit	h margin NC	9x = 1.1		conformity	factor NOx ι	rban <= 2.1		4.17		fail
		CF max = 1 + n	nargin NOx wit	h margin NC	9x = 1.1		conformity	factor NOx t	rip <= 2.1		1.89		pass
		CF max = 1 + r	margin PN with	n margin PN	= 0.5		conformity	factor PN ur	oan <= 1.5		0.61		pass
		CF max = 1 + r	margin PN with	n margin PN	= 0.5		conformity	factor PN tri	p <= 1.5		0.45		pass
urban		CO2	со	NOx	PN		trip		CO2	со		NOx	PN
correction	factor	g/km	mg/km	mg/km	#/km		correction	factor	g/km	mg/km	n m	<mark>g/k</mark> m	#/km
none		118.81	9.51	250.20	3.671e+11		none		106.08	16.06	1	13.60	2.672e+11
EXTC	1.60		9.51	250.20	3.671e+11		EXTC	1.60		16.06	1	13.60	2.672e+11
RF	1.00		9.51	250.20	3.671e+11		RF	1.00		16.06	1	13.60	2.672e+11
ki			9.51	250.20			ki			16.06	1	13.60	
final result *			9.51	250.20	3.671e+11	fi	nal result *			16.06	1	13.60	2.672e+11
WLTP limit			1000.00	60.00	6.000e+11	,	WLTP limit			1000.00	06	0.00	6.000e+1
conformity fac	tor			4.17	0.61		onformity fact	tor				1.89	0.45






Towards EURO 6 approval Only NOx remains to be solved





Source: w ebsite name





Next step...



100 cars for trials in 2021 Entire value chain involved









Barriers

What stops methanol from being used?

Technical, political, financial

- Exchange agreements
- E10 is cheaper
- Biofuel mandates do not account for actual CO₂
- Bio-CO₂ counts as fossil in the vehicle industry

=> No OEM support





• We need demonstration programs to assure confidence that M85 is safe for vehicles and infrastructure and that any technical difficulties can be solved.





Thanks for watching Follow us on LinkedIn



October 30, 2020

Martin Tunér

Lund University

Professor

High Efficiency Methanol Engines



Sebastian Verhelst Professor Lund University





Methanol properties & methanol engines

Properties of methanol enables higher efficiencies Compared to gasoline or diesel

- High RON (knock resistance)
- High burning velocity (increased expansion)
- High heat of vaporization (cooler charge)
- High lean burn limit & higher EGR tolerance
- High hydrogen & oxygen / carbon ratio
- Lack of carbon-carbon bonds





- Increased power
- Reduced exhaust heat losses
- Reduced heat transfer losses
- Reduced NOx
- Reduced CO₂
- <u>No</u> soot

Large range of methanol engine applications-versatile, powerful & clean











Methanol engines – combustion strategies

Research concepts DICI PPC SI RCCI Exhaust Exhaust Exhaust Exhaust Ignition improved Methanol - MD95 Methanol Gasoline Diesel **DUAL-FUEI** PFI D High = Propiotes Auto-Ignition **Fuel Reactivity** Low = Prevents Auto-Ignition DISI Intake Exhaust Spark Stena Germanica

METHANOL

SI – Spark Ignited CI – Compression Ignited DI – Direct Injected PFI – Port Fuel Injected

PPC – Partially Premixed Combustion RCCI – Reactivity Controlled Cl

Methanol in gasoline engines - DISI

- Naturally aspirated Direct Injection (DI) engine Hyundai 2.4L at ANL
 - Tested methanol vs. gasoline +ethanol, butanol, E85, M56
 - Stock ECU load limitations
 - Low-mid load: 2.7 %pt efficiency increase on methanol vs. gasoline
 - High load: 5.6 %pt (eff.=40%, i.e. -20% CO2
- Turbocharged DI: Volvo 1.6L "T3"
 - Efficiency +25%
 - MBT instead of KLSA
 - Effect not fully exploited: p_{max} limit
 - NO_x -35%















ADVANCED MOTOR FUELS

Technology Collaboration Programme on

Methanol in diesel engines - PPC



pollutant emissions



Euro VI compliant w/o aftertreatment



Shamun et al. SAE 2016-01-2288









Car engines approaching 50% efficiency

SIP – research program in Japan

High octane (gasoline) engines are surpassing the potential for high cetane (diesel) engines!!!

Ultra-lean + assisted compression ignition are critical factors = LTC



A higher compression ratio, diluted combustion, and reduced loss are important for increasing thermal efficiency. Hitachi Automotive Systems is developing combustion control technologies and system products that achieve this.







Truck engines approaching 55% efficiency

Technology Collaboration Programme on Advanced Motor Fuels

Path to 55% BTE for Conventional Diesel Combustion





56% efficiency (simulations) Experiments just started on prototype



Dedicated methanol engines? Likely even more efficient!

- METHANOL
- Methanol fuel properties are those leading to even higher efficiency
 - Increased autoignition resistance
 - Lean limit extension
 - Low adiabatic flame temperature

• DCEE on methanol = 60% efficiency?

^{• ...}





Potential future applications of high efficiency methanol engines







Potential future applications High Efficiency Methanol Engines

- Captive fleets high energy sectors
- Shipping ongoing!
- Agricultural-, forestry-, and work
 machinery
- Long haulage
- Large reduction of CO₂
- Large reduction of soot and NOx
- Large risk reduction from spill





Potential future applications High Efficiency Methanol Engines

- Drop-in 3% methanol in regular gasoline
 - Ok according to EN228 gasoline standard
- Flex-fuel vehicles (GEM Gasoline-Ethanol-Methanol)
 - E85 cars originates from M85 cars
- Hybrids can and should run on renewables
 - Toyota E100 hybrid on Brazilian market
- Low cost sustainable cars on emerging markets









Market penetration considerations

Methanol versus oil Knowing the challenge







Doubling of transportation by 2050?

How to replace oil?

Phasing out 6 billion tons of oil annually is not easy Relevance of various sustainable energy carriers





More efficient transportation counters some of the increased energy needs!

- Electric drive on renewable electricity yes, very important but not enough (IEA 2018 Future is electric)
- HVO yes, but probably prioritized for aviation and not enough anyway
- Bio-methane yes indeed, but too small scale
- Etanol yes, but not enough great companion to methanol
- FAME yes, but not enough
- e-Hydrogen & e-methane yes, important and great scalability, but practical?
- Bio-methanol & e-methanol yes, great potential, but not enough on their own

Most based on different and complementery feedstocks! All have their pros, cons, risks and opportunities... another presentation...



Technology Collaboration Programme on Advanced Motor Fuels







Example:

- Passenger cars
- CO₂-eq from production + use
- 200 000 km WLTP drive cycle
- Brut costs (no tax or subventions)

Quickly changing scenario but shows that bio-methanol is relevant



Barriers?



Few reasons why methanol should not become an important sustainable fuel

- Scalable, affordable and functional
- Engines based on simple and abundant materials

Potential challenges for large scale market penetration of methanol engines:

- Lack of relevant regulations
- Massive break-through on fast charging and new types of batteries for vehicles – depending less on rare materials, allowing increased production of low cost electric vehicles
- Strong cost reduction of fuel cells making FC vehicles a cost competitive alternative
- Stronger demand for renewable methanol in other sectors

Needs for large scale introduction of high efficiency methanol engines

Legislation

- Holistic lifecycle assessment (LCA) based regulations provide meaning and long term stability markets for sustainable fuels
 - Tail-pipe based regulations "fools the world" and delays important measures against climate change



Availability

Enormous scaling up of methanol production and distribution, relevant engines and vehicles

Technical

- Robust injectors and fueling systems with proof of long term durability
- Fuel standards for neat "fuel" methanol and various blends of methanol.
 - M100, M56 (similar to E85), MD95...
- Certification work for engine and vehicle manufacturers
- R & D into dedicated methanol engines

October 26, 2020



AMF TCP Annex 56 Marine Methanol



Päivi Aakko-Saksa

Principal Research Scientist VTT Technical Research Centre of Finland





Contents

- Motivation
- Pathways
- Marine engines fot methanol
- Project examples
- Conclusions



Ships travel close to coast where dense population lives

Why clean-burning & climateneutral marine fuels? Emission regulations are tightening

Global warming burden of shipping

- GHG strategy of the IMO to cut shipping sector's CO_2 emissions by 50% by 2050 (strategy 2018, rev. in 2023).
- The EU's CO₂ emissions from maritime transport to be cut by min. 40% by 2050 from 2005 levels (EC's White Paper on transport, 2011).

Exhaust emissions harm health and environment

- Fuel sulphur max. 0.1% or scrubbers in SO_x ECAs (2015). 0.5% global limit 2020 (IMO MEPC, 2016)
- NO_x Tier III regulations (2016) for NECA new vessels
- New emissions considered (e.g. black carbon, methane)











Volume of marine fuels

	SSD e.g. container ships, oil tankers (Mt)	MSD e.g. ferries, cruisers, RoRo, RoPax (Mt)	HSD small, e.g. fishing Vessels (Mt)	Total (Mt)
Residual	180.5*, 2.3**	26.2**, 0.26*	0.5**, 0.01*	210.3
Distillate	12.2*, 0.4**	20.7**, 0.5*	13.7**, 1*	49.5
LNG	0.03	2.3	0	6.5

GHG strategy of the IMO to cut shipping sector's CO_2 emissions by 50% by 2050



SSD = Slow speed diesel MSD = Medium speed diesel HSD = High speed diesel

*2-stroke **4-stroke

Data from Comer et al. (2017) Black Carbon Emissions and Fuel Use in Global Shipping, 2015, ICCT.

Note: Methanol production capacity is 125 Mt. 40% of demand for fuels (MTBE, FAME, DME, MTG). However, renewable methanol produced only in small scale.



... can be clean in engines with emissions control

Biofuels and sustainable e-fuels (P2X)



Technology Collaboration Programme on

Affordability?


Marine and aviation sectors most dependent on liquid fuels

- Decarbonising electricity is progressing and major steps anticipated by 2050.
- E-liquids and liquid biofuels are foreseen strong contributors e.g. in Europe in 2050 in several scenarios.
- Methanol is liquid and can be produced from biomass or sustainable electricity. Included in definitions of sustainable fuels?



Source: 17.9.2020 SWD(2020) 176 final Part 2/2 Impact assessment. Stepping up Europe's 2030 climate ambition Investing in a climate-neutral future for the benefit of our people





Marine engines for methanol





- Marine diesel engines in ships are mainly large slow speed diesel engines and large medium speed diesel engines. High speed diesel engines are used in smaller vessels.
- Wärtsilä and MAN methanol duel-fuel engine concepts for large diesel engines.
 - Wärtsilä methanol-diesel retrofit engine concept.
 - MAN dual-fuel methanol engine concept for new-builds.
- Methanol engines designed towards high efficiency (e.g. 42-57%) would compensate low energy content of methanol, and with ultra-low emissions (soot-free combustion).

Methanol use in shipping

- Methanol is one of the marine fuel alternatives having engines available on market.
- Wärtsilä methanol-diesel retrofit concept: dual-fuel technology uses diesel as a back-up fuel. Common-rail system for methanol injection, cylinder heads, fuel injectors and changes in fuel pumps. (Haraldson 2013). Used in Stena Germanica in Sweden.
- MAN has developed dual-fuel methanol engine technology that is used in more than ten 50,000 dwt tankers.







Picture: Stena Line



Picture: Marine Propulsion News

MAN demonstrated the ME-LGI engine for the shipowners in 2015

Dual-fuel gas-diesel concept Preliminary testing example at VTT

At VTT testing with Wärtsilä Vasa 4R32 LN/GD 1.6 MW, CR 13.8:1*:

- NO_x 3-5 g/kWh (Low Tier II)
- Low PM (FSN~0,1 HFO as pilot)
- Low formaldehyde emission.
- Efficiency comparable to running on diesel.



METHANOL



MD95 / Scania engine concept Potential for smaller vessels

- VTT MD95 study with Scania DC9 E02 270 EEV alcohol engine (market). 8.9 dm^{3,} CR 28:1, 198 kW.
- MD95 blends were clean burning. Low aldehyde emissions. Particles are "liquid"-type originating from additives and assumedly removable by oxidation MD95 fuels: catalyst.
- Similar performance for MD95 and ED95 fuels.

- Methanol, dry (80-85 wt%)
- Water (5.5 wt%)
- Ignition improvers
- Lubricity improver
- Stability additive

energy fuels

Article pubs.acs.org/EF

Renewable Methanol with Ignition Improver Additive for Diesel Engines

Päivi T. Aakko-Saksa,*[†] Mårten Westerholm,[†] Rasmus Pettinen,[†] Christer Söderström,[†] Piritta Roslund.[†] Pekka Piimäkorpi.[†] Päivi Koponen.[†] Timo Murtonen.[†] Matti Niinistö.[†] Martin Tunér.[‡] and Ioanne Ellis[§]

[†]VTT Technical Research Centre of Finland Ltd., P.O. Box 1000, FI-02044 VTT Espoo, Finland [‡]Lund University, 22100 Lund, Sweden

§SSPA Sweden AB, 40022 Göteborg, Sweden

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Reduced emissions and environmental burden from shipping are an important aim of tightening emission regulations and ambitious climate change strategy. Renewable methanol produced from biomass or from other renewable sources represents one option to face these challenges. We studied the potential of renewable methanol to offer such benefits in diesel operation in a Scania ethanol engine, which is designed for additized ethanol fuel (ED95) containing ignition improver and lubricity additives. Methanol (MD95) with several types of ignition improver and lubricity additives was studied for use in diesel engines. MD95 fuels were clean-burning, emitting even less gaseous emissions than ED95, particularly when glycerol ethoxylate was used as an ignition improver. Particle mass and number emissions originating from additives in the experimental fuels could be reduced with an oxidation catalyst. Reduced additive dosing in the MD95 fuels was studied with the aid of fuel injection into the intake manifold. Overall, the results showed that the monofuel MD95 concept is a promising solution for smaller vessels equipped with 800-1200 kW engines.



*) Murtonen et al., Results with Scania ethanol engine using different fuels. Copenhagen 26.2.2015. (AMF Annex 46. Nylund N-O et al. 21st ISAF Symposium, 2015. Aakko-Saksa et al. Energy&Fuels. 2020, 34, 379-388. (SUMMETH project).

Emissions from marine engines using methanol are low





Ship emissions review (INTENS project report)

RESEARCH REPORT

VTT-R-00335-19



Ship emissions in the future - review

Authors: Päivi Aakko-Saksa, Kati Lehtoranta Confidentiality: Public



Black carbon (BC) emissions from marine engines (MSD and SSD). Engine loads >50% MCR. Example from a report of INTENS project.

INTENS project website: http://intens.vtt.fi/index.htm



The sustainable marine methanol "SUMMETH" project Focus on smaller vessels with clean methanol

- Market study of smaller vessels in NW Europe
- Development work for smaller methanol marine engines
- Case study design for methanol conversion of a road ferry
- Renewable methanol supply chain investigation
- Website: <u>www.marinemethanol.com</u>









The sustainable marine methanol "SUMMETH" project Case study of road ferry conversion

Case study: Conversion of a Swedish Road Ferry to methanol operation

- M/S Jupiter road ferry 86 metre length, capacity for 397 passengers and 60 cars
- Currently running on diesel fuel, bunkered by truck
- Developed a methanol conversion design with recommendations for fuel storage and supply, safety systems, and bunkering
- Emissions reduction potential compared to operation on diesel fuel

Conversion design developed by project partner ScandiNAOS









- Conversion of a Swedish pilot boat to run on methanol
- Two engines tested on board with methanol – using sparkignited port fuel technology
- Fossil-free methanol produced from pulp mill black liquor was used in the tests
- On board emissions measurements verified very low particulate emissions and low NOx







New project in Sweden Harbour tug, pilot boat, coast guard vessel



Join our Webinar on November 5th, 2020

Methanol: a sustainable, scalable, storable energy carrier

A JOINT INITIATIVE BY LUND UNIVERSITY AND THE FASTWATER CONSORTIUM

FASTWATER consortium fast tracks commercial pathway to climate neutral methanol as marine fuel

A consortium of Europe's maritime research and technology leaders have launched the FASTWATER project to demonstrate the feasibility of retrofit and newbuild vessels to operate on methanol as a pathway to fossil-free shipping.

With funding from the European Commission, FASTWATER will focus on high impact outcomes, designing solutions for existing ships and designs newbuildings, demonstrating for methanol as a future-proof marine fuel to create a fast track to carbon neutral shipping.

PARTNERS



ABALance[®]



quard vessel.

guard vessel

manufacturers,





Harbour tug, pilot boat, and coast

The project aims to commercialise

medium and high-speed methanol-

fuelled engines for shipping. Consortium

members, including original engine

architects, ship owners/operators, port

and maritime authorities, classification,

fuel producers, and research institutes,

will demonstrate feasibility on three

vessels running on methanol fuel: a

harbour tug, a pilot boat, and a coast

shipyards,

naval



SUPER TOYS at 8 00 45 00











Inland waterways – Greenfuel project example MS innogy fuel cell system

- Demonstration of methanol from CO2 (from air), green electricity and water, for use in the vessel MS innogy and in cars.
- MS innogy fuel cell system (35kW) and battery pack. The FCs are range extenders.
- Waste heat from fuel cells for methanol reformation \rightarrow high electrical efficiency 40-50%.
- No harmful air emissions, and system is CO2neutral, low noise and vibrations.
- Knowledge and experience gained from the Pa-X-ell test program (methanol FC on a cruise ship; electricity for the ship's power grid.)

Methanol Fuel Cell Powered Passenger Ferry Sets Sail



ttps://www.maritime-executive.com/article/methanol-fuel-cell-powered-passenger-ferry-sets-sail





High-Pressure Direct Injection (HP-DI) of Methanol with Diesel Pilot

Yabin Dong, Ossi Kaario, Martti Larmi, Aalto University, Finland

HP-DI methanol, non-premixed dual-fuel (DF)

- DF engine, specially designed cylinder head. Centrally located methanol injector.
- 1500 rpm, CR 16.5. Control of methanol inj. duration.
- IMEP 4.2-13.8bar, methanol substitution (MSR) 45-95%.
- E.g. with MSR 95%, stable combustion due to high IMEP. HC emissions dropped from 26 g/kWh to 0.6 g/kWh.

Conclusions

Early fuel injection has advantages in the DI methanol DF engine. High IMEP (high engine load), high MSR and early fuel injection promising for methanol utilization, combustion stability and emissions.



Methanol pros Methanol cons



Technology Collaboration Programme on Advanced Motor Fuels



Pros

- Methanol is liquid fuel.
- Methanol is already used for fuels.
- Can be of biomass or P2X origin.
- Marine methanol engines on market
- Low emission combustion.
- Simple structure enables design of high efficiency engines.
- Methanol is **biodegradable**.
- Tanks can be in the double bottom.
- Methanol can be safer than gasoline.

Cons

- Low heating value.
- Safety issues (toxic like traditional fuels, flammable, burns with invisible flame)
- Possible formaldehyde emission (removed by oxidation catalyst).
- Alcohol compatible materials needed due to corrosion risk.
- Methanol is not soluble in diesel*.

*) Soluble in gasoline with co-solvents.





Renewable methanol offers potential to reduce both climate burden and heath risks of shipping

- Liquid fuel option for marine engines
- Low GHG emissions when of renewable origin.
- Low SOx, NOx, PM and BC emissions.
- Methanol can be used in ICEs and fuel cells.

Technology Collaboration Programme

bylea